IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Filed: 08/05/2003 § Examiner: Ojo O. Oyebis

For: Method and System for \$ Atty, Dkt. No.: 200901426-1 Effecting Payment by \$ (HPC.0874US)

Checks Through the Use of §
Image Replacement §
Documents

Mail Stop Appeal Brief-Patents

Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

REPLY TO NOTICE OF NON-COMPLIANT APPEAL BRIEF DATED NOVEMBER 17, 2009 AND SUMMARY OF TELEPHONIC INTERVIEW

Dear Sir:

The Notification of Non-Compliant Appeal Brief indicated that the Appeal Brief was defective because the Appellant argued the § 112, ¶ 1, rejection. The notification indicated that the § 112, ¶ 1, rejection was withdrawn in the Advisory Action dated May 14, 2009. However, the Advisory Action only indicated that the § 101 rejection had been overcome and withdrawn. There is no statement regarding the § 112, ¶ 1, rejection in the Advisory Action.

In a telephonic interview between the undersigned and Examiner Ojo O. Oyebisi on December 16, 2009, it was agreed that the Advisory Action indicated that the § 101 rejection was overcome, but that the Advisory Action did not reference the § 112, ¶ 1, rejection. Therefore, it was also agreed that the Appeal Brief submitted on August 12, 2009 is **not** defective. Therefore, a corrected Appeal Brief is not needed.

Appl. No. 10/634,635 Response to Notice of Non-Compliant Appeal Brief and Summary of Telephonic Interview

Withdrawal of the Notification of Non-Compliant Appeal Brief is therefore respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: December 16, 2009

/Dan C. Hu/

Dan C. Hu

Registration No. 40,025 TROP, PRUNER & HU, P.C. 1616 South Voss Road, Suite 750 Houston, TX 77057-2631 Telephone: (713) 468-8880

Facsimile: (713) 468-8883